JEROME C. ROTH (SBN 159483) 1 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2907 3 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 4 5 Attorneys for Defendant LG PHILIPS LCD AMERICA, INC. 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 Ari Hakim, individually and on behalf of all CASE NO. C 06-7699 (MJJ) 13 those similarly situated, STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF 14 TIME Plaintiff. 15 Honorable Martin J. Jenkins VS. 16 LG-Philips LCD Co. Ltd., LG Philips LCD America, Inc.; Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.; 17 Sharp Corporation; Sharp Electronics Corporation; Toshiba Corporation; Toshiba 18 Matsushita Display Technology Co., Ltd.; Hitachi Ltd.; Hitachi Displays, Ltd.; Hitachi 19 America Ltd.: Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA), Inc.; Sanyo Epson Imaging Devices 20 Corporation; NEC Corporation; NEC LCD Technologies, Ltd.; NEC Electronics America, 21 Inc.; IDT International Ltd.; AU Optronics; International Display Technology Co., Ltd.; 22 International Display Technology USA Inc.; AU Optronics Corporation America; Chi Mei 23 Optoelectronics: Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.; Chunghwa Picture Tubes Ltd.; 24 Hannstar Display Corporation; 25 Defendants. 26 27 28

STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME CASE NO. C 06-699 (MJJ)

1	WHEREAS plaintiff filed a complaint in the above-captioned case on or about
2	December 15, 2006;
3	WHEREAS plaintiff alleges antitrust violations by manufacturers of Liquid
4	Crystal Display ("LCD") products;
5	WHEREAS more than fifteen complaints have been filed to date in federal district
6	courts throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bringing class actions on behalf of
7	indirect purchasers alleging antitrust violations by manufacturers of LCD products (collectively,
8	"the LCD Cases");
9	WHEREAS there is a motion pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict
10	Litigation to transfer the LCD Cases to the Northern District of California for coordinated or
11	consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407;
12	WHEREAS plaintiff anticipates the possibility of Consolidated Amended
13	Complaints in the LCD Cases;
14	WHEREAS plaintiff and LG Philips LCD America, Inc. ("LPL America") have
15	agreed that an orderly schedule for any response to the pleadings in the LCD Cases would be
16	more efficient for the parties and for the Court;
17	WHEREAS plaintiff agrees that the deadline for LPL America to respond to the
18	Complaint shall be extended until the earlier of the following two dates: (1) forty-five days after
19	the filing of a Consolidated Amended Complaint in the LCD Cases; or (2) forty-five days after
20	plaintiff provides written notice to LPL America that he does not intend to file a Consolidated
21	Amended Complaint, provided that such notice may be given only after the initial case
22	management conference in the MDL transferee court in this case;
23	WHEREAS plaintiff further agrees that this extension is available, without further
24	stipulation with counsel for plaintiff, to all named defendants who notify plaintiff in writing of
25	their intention to join this extension;
26	WHEREAS this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by LPL America or any
27	defendant of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal or subject
28	

matter jurisdiction, insufficiency of process, insufficiency of service of process, or improper 1 2 venue. PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT LPL AMERICA, BY AND THROUGH THEIR 3 RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS: 4 The deadline for LPL America to respond to the Complaint shall be 5 extended until the earlier of the following two dates (1) forty-five days after the filing of a 6 Consolidated Amended Complaint in the LCD Cases; or (2) forty-five days after the plaintiff 7 provides written notice that he does not intend to file a Consolidated Amended Complaint, 8 provided that such notice may be given only after the initial case management conference in the 9 MDL transferee court in this case. 10 This extension is available, without further stipulation with counsel for 2. 11 plaintiff or further order of the Court, to all named defendants who notify plaintiff in writing of 12 their intention to join this extension. 13 14 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 15 DATED: January <u>2</u>, 2007 Respectfully submitted, 16 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 17 18 By: 19 ttorneys for Defendant 20 LG PHILLIPS LCD AMERICA, INC 21 Of Counsel: 22

Michael R. Lazerwitz
Jeremy J. Calsyn

Lee F. Berger

CLEARLY GOTTLIEB STEEN &

HAMILTON LLP

25 | 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20006

26 Telephone: (202) 974-1500 Facsimile: (202) 974-1999

27

24

28

DATED: January <u>2</u>, 2007 FURTH LEHMANN & GRANT LLP 1 2 By:_ 3 MICHAEL P. LEHMANN THOMAS P. DOVE 4 CHRISTOPHER L. LEBSOCK JON T. KING 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff ARİ HAKIM 6 7 8 9 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO 10 ORDERED: Dated: 1/8/2007 11 Judge of the United States District Court 12 13 **CERTIFICATION** 14 I, Jerome C. Roth, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to filed 15 this Stipulation For Extension Of Time. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby 16 attest that Jon T. King has concurred in this filing. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Casease 6:06-0:769761919-51 Document 3.0 Filled 01/02/20707Page 49ef44of 4